

Bradford J. Sandler, Esq. (NY Bar No. 4499877)
Ilan D. Scharf, Esq. (NY Bar No. 4042107)
Jason S. Pomerantz, Esq. (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Facsimile: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to Plaintiff RDC Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re: ROCHESTER DRUG CO-OPERATIVE, INC. Debtor.	Chapter 11 Case No. 20-20230 (PRW)
Advisory Trust Group, LLC, as trustee of the RDC LIQUIDATING TRUST, Plaintiff, v. TXX SERVICES, INC., Defendant.	Adv. Proc. No. 22-_____ (PRW)

**COMPLAINT FOR AVOIDANCE AND RECOVERY
OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS**

The RDC Liquidating Trust, through its trustee Advisory Trust Group, LLC (“Plaintiff” or the “RDC Liquidating Trust”), the successor in interest to Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc. (the “Debtor” or “RDC”), files this *Complaint For Avoidance And Recovery Of Preferential Transfers* (the “Complaint”) against TXX Services, Inc. (the “Defendant”) and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action against the Defendant pursuant to sections 547 and 550 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred prior to commencement of the Debtor’s bankruptcy case.

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff RDC Liquidating Trust was established pursuant to the Debtor’s *Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation*. Advisory Trust Group, LLC (the “Trustee”) is the duly appointed trustee of the RDC Liquidating Trust, which is authorized to pursue and prosecute avoidance actions on behalf of the Debtor’s estate.

3. Debtor RDC is a New York cooperative corporation that formerly operated as a distributor of pharmaceutical drugs to independent pharmacies, including its shareholding members. RDC was licensed to distribute controlled substances as defined under the Controlled Substances Act, as amended, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 *et seq.*, and related regulations, 21 C.F.R. §§1301 *et seq.* At all relevant times, RDC’s principal place of business was located at 50 Jet View Drive, Rochester, New York.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a New York corporation that supplied transportation services to the Debtor.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court (the "Court") has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(1) and 1334(b) and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* dated February 29, 2012 because this is a civil proceeding arising in or related to a case under Title 11 of the United States Code and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”) 7001.

6. This adversary proceeding constitutes a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

7. Plaintiff confirms its consent, pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7008 and Rule 7008-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Bankruptcy Local Rules”), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this Complaint to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

8. Venue of the Debtor’s chapter 11 case and this adversary proceeding is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

9. This adversary proceeding is initiated pursuant to Bankruptcy Rules 3007, 7001(1) and sections 502, 547 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

10. On March 12, 2020 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

11. Creditors have filed proofs of claim alleging in excess of \$350 billion in Class 2 General Unsecured Claims against the Debtor’s estate. Defendant did not file a proof of claim against the Debtor, and the Debtor did not schedule a claim for Defendant.

12. On February 26, 2021, the Court entered an order (the “Confirmation Order”) [Docket No. 1257] confirming RDC’s *Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation* (the “RDC Plan”) [Docket No. 1145].

13. The Effective Date of the RDC Plan occurred on March 19, 2021 [Docket No. 1305].

14. As of the Effective Date and pursuant to the Confirmation Order, the RDC Plan and that certain Liquidating Trust Agreement and Declaration of Trust (the “Trust Agreement”): (i) RDC’s Assets were transferred to the RDC Liquidating Trust, which was empowered, among other things, to collect and administer RDC’s Assets (including Causes of Action) and resolve Claims against RDC and its estate and (ii) Advisory Trust Group, LLC was appointed to act as Trustee.¹

15. This Cause of Action is an Asset of the RDC Liquidating Trust.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16. During the ninety (90) days before and including the Petition Date, that is between December 13, 2019 and March 12, 2020 (the “Preference Period”), the Debtor continued to operate its business, including the transfer of money, either by checks, cashier checks, wire transfers, ACH transfers, direct deposits, or otherwise, to various entities.

17. During the course of their relationship, Defendant and the Debtor entered into agreements for the provision of transportation services from the Defendant (the “Services”), as evidenced by one or more contracts, purchase orders, invoices, communications, and other documents (the “Agreements”).

18. During the Preference Period, the Debtor made payments to or for the benefit of the Defendant, including those identified on Exhibit A (collectively, the “Transfers”). Each Transfer was related to an invoice (the “Invoices”) pursuant to which the Defendant billed the Debtor for the Services it had previously provided to the Debtor for which the Debtor had an obligation to pay. Exhibit A sets forth the details of each of the Transfers and the Invoices,

¹ Each capitalized term in this paragraph has the definition ascribed to it in the RDC Plan of Liquidation.

including the payment clear date, payment amount, invoice number, invoice date and invoice amount.

19. As is evidenced by Exhibit A, each Transfer was made on account of an antecedent debt owing by the Debtor to the Defendant.

20. The aggregate amount of the Preference Period Transfers is not less than \$1,289,097.27.

21. As evidenced by RDC's schedules filed in the underlying bankruptcy case as well as the proofs of claim that have been received to date and the records of the RDC Liquidating Trust, the Debtor's liabilities exceed its assets such that the Debtor's general unsecured creditors will not receive payment of their claims in full from the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.

22. Plaintiff is seeking to avoid all of the Transfers made by the Debtor to Defendant within the Preference Period.

23. In connection with the Trustee's due diligence, in or about June 2021, Plaintiff, through counsel, sent a demand letter ("Demand Letter") to Defendant, seeking a return of the Transfers. The Demand Letter indicated that the Transfers may be subject to certain defenses and invited the Defendant to engage in discussions aimed toward resolving the claims arising from the Transfers without the time and expense of litigation. The Demand Letter further asked that the Defendant respond no later than July 1, 2021. Defendant subsequently provided counsel to Plaintiff with information and/or documentation related to the asserted defenses of: (i) ordinary course of business and (ii) new value.

24. By a review of the Debtor's records and the information and/or documentation provided by the Defendant, Plaintiff performed its own due diligence evaluation of the known (if any) and reasonably knowable affirmative defenses available to Defendant, including under section 547(c)(2) (ordinary course of business) and section 547(c)(4) (subsequent new value), assessing the data available with respect to the elements of each of the above-referenced defenses. Based upon this review, Plaintiff has determined that the claims to avoid the Transfers herein are viable and presented in good faith.

25. During the course of this proceeding, Plaintiff may learn (through discovery or otherwise) of additional transfers made to Defendant during the Preference Period or that may be avoidable under other provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. It is Plaintiff's intention to avoid and recover all avoidable transfers of property made by the Debtor, as well as interests of the Debtor in property, to or for the benefit of Defendant or any other transferee. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this original Complaint to include the following: (a) further information regarding the Transfer(s); (b) additional transfers; (c) modifications of and/or revision to Defendant's name; (d) additional defendants; and/or (e) additional causes of action, if applicable (collectively, the "Amendments"), that may become known to Plaintiff at any time during this adversary proceeding, through formal discovery or otherwise, and for the Amendments to relate back to this original Complaint.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Preferential Transfers—11 U.S.C. § 547)

26. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each preceding paragraph of the Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

27. During the Preference Period, the Debtor made each Transfer to or for the benefit of Defendant in an aggregate amount not less than the amount set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

28. Each Transfer was made from the Debtor, and constituted transfers of an interest in property of the Debtor.

29. Defendant was a creditor of the Debtor at the time of each Transfer by virtue of supplying Services to the Debtor for which the Debtor was obligated to pay in accordance with the Agreements.

30. Each Transfer was to or for the benefit of a creditor within the meaning of section 547(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code because each Transfer either reduced or fully satisfied a debt or debts then owed by the Debtor to Defendant.

31. As is evidenced by Exhibit A, each Transfer was made for, or on account of, an antecedent debt or debts owed by the Debtor to Defendant before such Transfer was made, as asserted by Defendant and memorialized in the underlying transaction documents, each of which constituted a “debt” or “claim” (as those terms are defined in the Bankruptcy Code) of Defendant prior to being paid by the Debtor.

32. Each Transfer was made while the Debtor was insolvent. Plaintiff is entitled to the presumption of insolvency for each Transfer made during the Preference Period pursuant to section 547(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

33. As a result of each Transfer, Defendant received more than Defendant would have received if: (a) the Debtor’s chapter 11 case was a case under chapter 7 of the

Bankruptcy Code; (b) the Transfers had not been made; and (c) the Defendant received distributions on account of its debts under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

34. In accordance with the foregoing, each Transfer is avoidable pursuant to section 547(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

35. As of the date hereof, the Defendant has not returned any of the Transfers to the Plaintiff.

36. The Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. § 547 that the Transfers are avoided.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Recovery of Property -- 11 U.S.C. § 550)

37. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each preceding paragraph of the Complaint as though set forth fully herein.

38. As alleged above, Plaintiff is entitled to avoid the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 547.

39. Defendant is the initial, immediate, or mediate transferee of the Transfers.

40. Accordingly, pursuant to section 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the Transfers, plus interest thereon to the date of payment and the costs of this action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

a. On Plaintiff's First and Second Claims for Relief, judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant avoiding all of the Transfers and directing Defendant to return to Plaintiff the amount of the Transfers, pursuant to sections 547(b) and 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, plus interest from the date of demand at the maximum legal rate and to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law, together with the costs and expenses of this action including, without limitation, attorneys' fees; and

b. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Date: February 3, 2022

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

/s/ Jason S. Pomerantz

Bradford J. Sandler (NY Bar No. 4499877)
Ilan D. Scharf (NY Bar No. 4042107)
Jason S. Pomerantz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com
ischarf@pszjlaw.com
jspomerantz@pszjlaw.com

Counsel to Plaintiff RDC Liquidating Trust